

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| 08/714,987 | 09/17/1996 | HUGH SHARKEY | 17616-705 | 4099 | | |
| 21971 | 7590 06/27/2002 | | | | | |
| WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI | | | EXAMINER | | | |
| 650 PAGE M PALO ALTO | IILL ROAD), CA 943041050 | SHAY, DAVID M | | | | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | • | 3739 | | | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 06/27/2002 | | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | | Applicant(s) | 50.1 | |
|---|--|---|--|---|--------------------|
| Office Action Summary | 08/714,9 Examiner | 87 | | array | |
| | Examiner | Ley | | Group Art | Unit |
| -The MAILING DATE of this communication ap | pears on the cover s | hest be | neath the c | orresponder | nce address |
| Period for Reply | _ | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. | T TO EXPIRE — 3 | | MONTH(\$ | S) FROM THE | E MAILING DATE |
| Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days. If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by determine to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by | , a reply within the statuto fault, expire SIX (6) MON | ry minimu THS from | m of thirty (30 the mailing da |) days will be co | onsidered timely. |
| Status | | | | | |
| Responsive to communication(s) filed on A | 10,2002 | | | | |
| This action is FINAL. | | | | | • |
| Since this application is in condition for allowance excacordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, | | | | o the merits i | is closed in |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| | | ./ | is/are | pending in th | e application. |
| Of the above claim(s) | | | | • | |
| | | | | | |
| ☐ Claim(s) | | | is/are | allowed. | |
| | | | | | |
| (2-Claim(s) 45-55 | | | is/are | rejected. | |
| | | | is/are is/are | rejected. objected to. | iction or election |
| ☐ Claim(s) 45-85 ☐ Claim(s) | | | is/are is/are are su | rejected. objected to. | iction or election |
| ☐-Claim(s) 45-55 □ Claim(s) | | / | is/are is/are are su | rejected. objected to. ubject to restri | iction or election |
| ☐-Claim(s) 45-55 □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Claim(s) □ Application Papers | wing Review, PTO-94 | , / 8. | is/are is/are are su requir | rejected. objected to. ubject to restri ement. | iction or election |
| ☐ Claim(s) 45-55 ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Application Papers ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra | wing Review, PTO-94 ∴_ is □ appr | / 8. oved □ | is/are is/are are su requir | rejected. objected to. ubject to restri ement. | iction or election |
| ☐ Claim(s) 45-55 ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | wing Review, PTO-94 is □ approjected to by the Exan | / 8. oved □ | is/are is/are are su requir | rejected. objected to. ubject to restri ement. | iction or election |
| ☐ Claim(s) 45-55 ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of the drawing(s) filed on is/are of the claim of the drawing(s) filed on is/are of the claim of the drawing(s) filed on is/are of the claim of the drawing(s) filed on is/are of the claim o | wing Review, PTO-94 is □ approjected to by the Exan | / 8. oved □ | is/are is/are are su requir | rejected. objected to. ubject to restri ement. | iction or election |
| ☐ Claim(s) 45-55 ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | wing Review, PTO-94 is □ approjected to by the Exan | / 8. oved □ | is/are is/are are su requir | rejected. objected to. ubject to restri ement. | iction or election |
| ☐ Claim(s) | wing Review, PTO-94is □ approjected to by the Exan er. y under 35 U.S.C. § 1 s of the priority docume | 8. oved inner. 1 9(a)-(cents have | is/are is/are is/are are su requir disapprove | rejected. objected to. ubject to restri ement. | iction or election |
| ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are ot ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are ot ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies | wing Review, PTO-94isapprojected to by the Exan er. y under 35 U.S.C. § 1 s of the priority documents | 8. niner. 1 9(a)-(dents have | is/are is/are is/are are su requir disapprove | rejected. objected to. ubject to restri ement. ed. | iction or election |
| ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are ot ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are ot ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu | wing Review, PTO-94isapprojected to by the Exam er. y under 35 U.S.C. § 1 s of the priority document mber) International Bureau (| 8. boved _ niner. 1 9(a)-(cents have | is/are is/are is/are are su requir disapprove | rejected. objected to. ubject to restriement. ed. | iction or election |
| ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are ot ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are ot ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu ☐ received in this national stage application from the | wing Review, PTO-94isapprojected to by the Exam er. y under 35 U.S.C. § 1 s of the priority document mber) International Bureau (| 8. boved _ niner. 1 9(a)-(cents have | is/are is/are is/are are su requir disapprove | rejected. objected to. ubject to restriement. ed. | iction or election |
| ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s) ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ☐ is/are of ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priorit ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies ☐ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nu ☐ received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received: ☐ The claim is a stage application from the *Certified copies not received: ☐ The claim is a stage application from the *Certified copies not received: ☐ The claim is a stage application from the *Certified copies not received: ☐ The claim is a stage application from the *Certified copies not received: ☐ The claim is a stage application from the *Certified copies not received: ☐ The claim is a stage application from the *Certified copies not received: ☐ The claim is a stage application from the *Certified copies not received: ☐ The claim is a stage application from the *Certified copies not received: ☐ The claim is a stage application from the *Certified copies not received: ☐ The claim is a stage application from the *Certified copies not received: ☐ The claim is a stage application from the *Certified copies not received: ☐ The claim is a stage application from the *Certified copies not received: ☐ The claim is a stage application from the *Certified copies not received: ☐ The claim is a stage application from the *Certified copies not received: ☐ The claim is a stage application from the *Certified copies not received: ☐ The claim is a stage application from the *Certified copies not received: ☐ The claim is a stage application from the *Certified copies not received: ☐ The claim is a stage application from the *Certified copies not received: ☐ | wing Review, PTO-94 is approjected to by the Exan er. y under 35 U.S.C. § 1 s of the priority document mber) International Bureau (| 8. poved inner. 1 9(a)-(cents have | is/are is/are is/are are su requir disapprove di). ve been | rejected. objected to. ubject to restriement. ed. | |
| Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Claim(s) Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Dra The proposed drawing correction, filed on Interpretation is objected to by the Examiner. The specification is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. All Some* None of the CERTIFIED copies received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Nure received in this national stage application from the *Certified copies not received: Attachment(s) | wing Review, PTO-94 is approjected to by the Exan er. y under 35 U.S.C. § 1 s of the priority document mber) International Bureau (| 8. boved ininer. 1 9(a)-(cents have) PCT Ru | is/are is/are is/are are su requir disapprove il 17.2(a)). | rejected. objected to. ubject to restriement. ed. | |

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 08/714,987

Art Unit: 3739

The examiner notes the cancellation of claims 56-73.

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 45 and 46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The originally filed specification is silent regarding having the geometry of the distal portion of the energy delivering device as an input.
- 3. Claims 47-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sand ('709) in combination with Makower et al. Sand ('709) teaches a device for shrinking collagen with thermal feedback. Makower et al teach a device for heating tissue with microwaves or electrical resistance and with temperature sensors located on an insulator. It would have been obvious to the artisan of ordinary skill to employ a device as taught by Makower et al in the device of Sand ('709), since Sand ('709) teach no particular configuration of the device for shrinking non-corneal collagen, thus producing a device such as claimed.
- 4. Applicant arguments have been fully considered, but the rejections have been maintained for the following reasons. While the examiner notes the cited passages in the originally filed disclosure, there is no support therein for the input to the computer program of the probe geometry as claimed, nor is there an enabling description of what

Application/Control Number: 08/714,987

Art Unit: 3739

form the input would take or how it would be processed by any program that would receive such input. Thus the rejection of claims 45 and 46 as based on an insufficient disclosure under 35 USC 112 first paragraph has been maintained.

- 5. Regarding the obviousness rejection, applicant points to the feedback control section of claim 47 asserting that this is not taught by the combination. This argument fails to two reasons. Firstly the functional recitations in claim 47 are not in proper form to provide a structural limitation under 35 USC 112, sixth paragraph (see the June 25, 2000 edition of the Official Gazette). Secondly, even if such recitations were the proper form Makower et al clearly discuss controlling the temperature of the tissue while Sand ('709) equally clearly teaches computer control of the applied energy. Thus these arguments are not convincing.
- 6. This is a Continuation Prosecution Application of applicant's earlier Application No. 08/714,987. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 08/714,987

Art Unit: 3739

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no, however, event will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to David Shay at telephone number (703) 308-2215.

David Shay:bhw

June 21, 2001

DAVID M. SHAY PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 330